

**Deliberation report of the First meeting of the Examination Board (private defence)**

**Candidate:**

**Title of the PhD thesis:**

**Language of the PhD thesis:** Dutch, English or French

**Date and place of the defence:**

**Only in case of a joint PhD or interdisciplinary PhD:**

*For a joint PhD: the joint nature of the PhD must be present in the deliberation report. The* ***name of the institutions and of the doctoral titles*** *must be mentioned (Doctor of Veterinary Science (UGent) - Doctor of xxx (xxx)).*

*For an interdisciplinary PhD: the* ***name of the faculties involved*** *is mentioned. In addition to the quality, the Examination Board also assesses the* ***interdisciplinary character*** *of the dissertation, based on the definition of interdisciplinarity. This is explicitly mentioned in the deliberation report. Definition of interdisciplinarity:*

1. *More than one discipline is involved in the doctoral research and those disciplines are sufficiently different.*
2. *The disciplines are of a similar level and each discipline is critical to achieving the expected outcomes.*
3. *The use of different, sufficiently integrated disciplines leads to a synergy. This synergy allows for progress to be made in the state of the art of all involved disciplines and/or a shared field.*

**Composition of the Examination Board:**

(Present, absent, present via videoconference)

**Evaluation:**

**Doctoral training programme:**

*If the candidate has followed a doctoral training programme, the Examination Board has established whether the candidate meets the requirements to obtain the doctoral training certificate.*

**Application for the prize ‘Best clinical or best non-clinical doctorate’:**

*In case the administrative responsible promoter has submitted the dissertation for the prize ‘Best clinical doctorate’ or ‘Best non-clinical doctorate’, this should be discussed with the examination board. It is emphasized to report in detail the motivation and discussion of the selection criteria as stated in the faculty regulations for doctoral matters, so that sufficient information is available to the members of the selection committee. This will be included in the deliberation report and if the recommendation is favorable, this will be reported to the FCWO subcommittee ‘Doctorates’. Selection criteria:*

1. *publications with exceptional impact and relative ranking;*
2. *special prizes for oral and/or poster presentations;*
3. *patent application and/or value resulting from the doctoral research;*
4. *distinctive societal value;*
5. *any other argument that indicates the exemplary character of the doctoral research (e.g. innovativity, multidisciplinarity, etc);*
6. *information mentioned in the reports of the private and public defence.*

**Deliberation decision:**

Taking these evaluations into consideration, the Examination Board decides that the candidate can be **admitted to the second part of the doctoral examination**, the public defence of the doctoral dissertation.

Date, hour, place of the public defence:

Report made on (date):

Name:

Report should be submitted to: doctoraat.di@ugent.be to all members of the Examination Board and to the candidate.