

Master's Dissertation: Assessment Rubric

Master of Science in Engineering, Master of Science in Engineering: Architecture, Master of Science in Urbanism and Spatial Planning

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
General Assessment (issued by the assessment committee, in consensus)							
Skills / knowledge concerning the field of study (Master's level)	The student is not at all familiar with the field of study.	The student is not familiar with the field of study.	The student, to a minor extent, is familiar with the field of study.	The student is familiar with the field of study.	The student is very familiar with the field of study.	The student is very familiar with the field of study. He/she is able to apply the literature in the field.	The student adds new insights to the field of study.
Creativity	The student does not understand the research question and is not able to formulate appropriate answers.	The student understands the research question but is not able to formulate appropriate answers.	The student understands the research question and, with assistance from the supervisors, is partially able to formulate appropriate answers.	The student is able to define and interpret the research question and, with assistance from the supervisors, is able to formulate appropriate answers.	The student is able to define and interpret the research question and is able to formulate appropriate answers.	The student is able to define and interpret research gaps and is able to formulate appropriate answers, based on different methods and/or approaches in the field.	The student exceeds the initial research question which leads to new insights in the field.
Dedication	The student shows very little interest or motivation and does not take any initiative. He/she is not able to meet	The student shows little interest or motivation and does not take any initiative. He/she is not able to meet deadlines and tries to find excuses.	The student is motivated but takes little initiative. He/she is not always able to meet deadlines but accounts for it.	The student is motivated and takes initiative. The student is able to meet deadlines.	The student is motivated and takes initiative. The student is able to meet deadlines and reports to the supervisors about	The student is very motivated and takes a lot of initiative. The student works efficiently and reports to the supervisors about	The student is very motivated and takes a lot of initiative. The student works extraordinarily efficiently and reports to the

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
	deadlines and tries to find excuses .				the progress made.	the progress made.	supervisors about the progress made.
Critical point of view	The student does not interpret the literature in the field or the results of the research .	The student hardly interprets the literature in the field or the results of the research .	The student is fairly able to interpret the results of the research . The student makes use of and shows understanding of the literature in the field .	The student is fairly able to interpret the results of the research . The student makes adequate use of and shows understanding of the literature in the field .	The student is able to interpret the results of the research . The student makes adequate use of and shows understanding of the literature in the field .	The student is able to interpret and process critical reviews of the results of the research . The student makes use of the literature in the field in a critical way .	The student is able to continuously process the insights gained regarding the research question and the aims of the research , and is able to define them accurately .
Autonomy	The student only complies with the supervisors' requirements . The student does not take his/her own decisions and does not exert control over the project .	The student complies with the supervisors' requirements . The student takes his/her own decisions but does not exert control over the project .	The student makes use of the coaching and supervision of his/her supervisors and, therefore, is able to act fairly independently .	The student makes use of the coaching and supervision of his/her supervisors and is able to act independently .	The student is able to act independently and has discussed his/her ideas with the supervisors of the dissertation.	The student takes responsibility and exerts control . He/she can act independently and has presented his/her own ideas to the supervisors of the dissertation.	The student takes responsibility and exerts control . He/she acts independently and is in charge of the project .

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
Assessment of the Dissertation / Product (issued by the assessment committee, in consensus)							
Scientific quality ¹	The dissertation lacks all possible scientific qualities.	The dissertation lacks well-formulated research questions and well-defined conclusions.	The dissertation contains short and insufficient research questions, a limited literature review and lacks well-defined conclusions based on research results.	The dissertation contains adequate research questions, a literature review and conclusions, partially based on research results.	The dissertation contains well-formulated research questions, an adequate literature review and conclusions, based on research results.	The dissertation contains clear and well-formulated research questions, a thorough literature review and clearly-defined conclusions, based on definite research results.	The dissertation contains clear and well-formulated research questions, an exhaustive literature review and compelling, well-founded conclusions, based on definite, innovative research results.
Creative and design quality ¹	There is no reason , whatsoever, to categorise the product or dissertation as a creative creation.	Reasons can be found to categorise the product or dissertation as a creative creation, but it has been insufficiently conceptualised . It is without substance.	Sufficient reasons can be found to categorise the product or dissertation as a creative creation of minor substance .	Sufficient reasons can be found to categorise the product or dissertation as a creative creation. The concept is interesting but not innovative .	Sufficient reasons can be found to categorise the product or dissertation as a creative creation. The concept is interesting and innovative .	The product or dissertation has been well-developed and adds new, innovative ideas to the theme or research field .	The product or dissertation is ground-breaking and is distinctively creative, experimental and/or adds new, pioneering ideas to the theme or research field .

¹ Scientific quality and/or creative and design quality: depending on whether the Master's dissertation can be categorised as a scientifically appropriate thesis or a creative thesis with a focus on design (or both), the commission is to use the appropriate sections in the rubric during assessment.

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
Scientific and/or creative methodology (experiment, simulation, design, trials,...)	There is no structured methodology .	There is an insufficiently structured and ill-documented methodology .	Methodology is correct , but has been insufficiently explained and/or documented .	Methodology is correct , but has been partially explained and/or documented .	Methodology is apt and correct , and has been clearly explained and/or documented .	Methodology is original and correct , and has been explained, documented, applied and validated .	Methodology has been developed and is original and correct . Methodology has been explained, documented, applied and validated .
Form	The dissertation has been written very carelessly .	The dissertation has been written carelessly .	The dissertation has been written with care and contains correct and intelligible figures and/or tables .	The dissertation has been written with care and contains correct, intelligible and apt figures and/or tables .	The dissertation has been written with care and has a proper and appropriate lay-out .	The dissertation has been written with much care and has an accurate and intelligible lay-out .	The dissertation has been meticulously written and has an accurate and intelligible lay-out which elevates the dissertation .
Structure	The dissertation lacks cohesion. It is a mishmash of incoherent ideas and statements .	The dissertation lacks cohesion and logical connectors, essential for a Master's thesis .	The dissertation is rather cohesive but lacks logical connectors, essential for a Master's thesis .	The dissertation is cohesive, but sometimes lacks logical connectors .	The dissertation is well-structured and contains all necessary logical connectors .	The dissertation is very well-structured and the logical flow adds to the legibility of the Master's thesis .	The dissertation is very well-structured and the logical flow adds to the legibility and argumentative structure of the Master's thesis .

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
Language	The student does not master the language in which the dissertation is written. There are numerous errors to be found (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student insufficiently masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are numerous errors to be found (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are many, grave errors to be found which hamper understanding (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are no errors which hamper understanding (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written well . Language is correct and to-the-point .	The student is proficient in the language in which the dissertation is written. The text is easy to understand and contains no ambiguities .	The student writes well and is very proficient in the language in which the dissertation is written. The dissertation is a joy to read .
Extended Abstract	The extended abstract is missing or is an ill-structured compilation of pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation .	The extended abstract is an insufficiently-structured compilation of pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation .	The extended abstract is a structured compilation of pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation .	The extended abstract is a synthesis of the dissertation's most important findings .	The extended abstract is a clear and accessible synthesis of the dissertation's most important findings .	The extended abstract is a well-structured synthesis of the dissertation's most important findings and can serve as the basis for a conference paper .	The extended abstract is a very well-structured synthesis of the dissertation's most important findings and can serve as the basis for a paper in a scientific journal .

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
Assessment of the Oral Defence (issued by the assessment committee, in consensus)							
Oral presentation	The oral presentation is ill-structured and confusing . The student does not master the language in which the dissertation is presented well enough to tell a coherent story .	The oral presentation is ill-structured and confusing . The student masters the language in which the dissertation is presented, but is not able to tell a coherent story .	The oral presentation has a basic structure , but essential aspects (e.g. problem statement, conclusion) are missing .	The oral presentation has a basic structure , but essential aspects (e.g. problem statement, conclusion) are insufficiently elaborated upon .	The oral presentation is clear and well-structured . The conclusions of the dissertation are presented and argumentation is provided in a coherent, logical way .	The oral presentation is clear, coherent and well-structured . The conclusions of the dissertation are presented and argumentation is provided in a very coherent, logical way .	The oral presentation is captivating and had a coherent structure with a clear beginning, middle and end . The presentation is systematically built up and contains definite conclusions .
Question round	The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them adequately .	The student understands the questions but is not able to answer them adequately .	The student understands the questions but is only partially able to answer them adequately or correctly .	The student answers more than half of the questions adequately or correctly .	The student answers almost all the questions adequately or correctly .	The student shows that he/she understands and fully masters the subject of the dissertation .	The student shows that he/she understands and fully masters the subject of the dissertation and is able to think along with the judging panel .