## EVALUATION FORM MASTER'S DISSERTATION FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE Master of Science in Engineering Technology Master's dissertation of 18 credits Name student Title master's dissertation Dissertation advisory committee Date

## Instructions for use

Pass				Fail		
Excellent (18-20)	Very good (16-17)	Good (14-15)	Sufficient (10-13)	Insufficie nt (8-9)	Weak (0-7)	

Competences

## This competence needs to be evaluated here This competence can be evaluated here This competence should not be evaluated here Assessment 'process' by the dissertation advisory committee Assessment 'exam' by the assessment committee Assessment 'product' by both committees

		PROCESS	PRODUCT	EXAM
Relativ	ve weight	30	30	40
1 Independently search for relevant and up-to-date information and critically proces	ss it.			
Research				
Processing & critical analysis				
2 Formulate a research question, starting from a technical-scientific problem within	the own			
engineering discipline.				
Insight into the problem statement				
Formulation of the research question				
3 Apply a creative and/or innovative, appropriate solution methodology.				
Organizational skills and application				
Quality of the methodology				
4 Applying advanced knowledge of one's own engineering discipline in an integrate	ed manner			
to the problem at hand.				
Applying knowledge				
Implementation				
5 Apply problem-solving thinking in designing and realizing products or processes	in a			
variable context.				
Conceptual problem-solving thinking				
Dealing with uncertainty				
6 Critically interpret and validate own results, write them down, summarize them, a	nd clearly			
communicate them orally, while substantiating the decisions made.	-			
Justifying the choices made				
Critical analysis				
Clear communication				
7 Work and collaborate in a professional manner.				
Organisation and time management				
Attitude				
8 Reflect on own research topic and chosen methodology from various perspective	s, such as			
sustainability, international context, and ethical implications.				
9 Critically reflect on own thinking and actions, and handle feedback and the limits	of the own			
competencies in a conscious and responsible manner.	or the own			
competencies in a conscious and responsible manner.				
Handling feedback				
Critical view of one's own performance				
10 Scientific integrity and ethical conduct.				
Scientific integrity				
Ethical behavior				
D	l marks*			
Partia	i marks*			

Qualitative feedback**	Global mark***

<sup>\*</sup>No automatic calculation

<sup>\*\*</sup>If the mark on any of the three evaluation categories or on any of the underlying evaluation criteria is lower than 10/20, a clear justification is required.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> If the mark on one of the three evaluation categories is 8/20 or less than 8/20, the dissertation advisory committee and the assessment committee can conclude, by consensus, that the student can no longer pass the entire master's dissertation. If that is the case, and if the final mark according to weighting factors is 10/20 (or more), the final mark will be reduced to the highest failing mark, 9/20. If these special conditions apply, a specific argumentation and a fair justification is required based on the final competences of the master's dissertation.