EVALUATION FORM MASTER'S DISSERTATION							
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE							
Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen, Master of Science in Engineering		Master's dissertation of 24 or 30 credits*					
Name student		Academic Year					
Title master's		Exam period					
dissertation		Zitaiii peried					
Dissertation advisory		Date	·				
committee		24.9					

Instructions for use

Pass				Fail		
Excellent (18-20)	Very good (16-17)	Good (14-15)	Sufficient (10-13)	Insufficie nt (8-9)	Weak (0-7)	

Competences

This competence needs to be evaluated here This competence can be evaluated here This competence should not be evaluated here

Assessment 'process' by the dissertation advisory committee Assessment 'exam' by the assessment committee Assessment 'product' by both committees

		PROCESS	PRODUCT	EXAM
-				
A landou and another annulu for malayers to an along the data information and anition	Relative weight	30	30	40
1 Independently search for relevant and up-to-date information and critical	illy process it.			
Research				
Processing & critical analysis				
2 Formulate a research question, starting from a complex scientific proble	em within the own			
engineering discipline.				
Insight into the problem statement				
Formulation of the research question				
3 Apply a creative and/or innovative, appropriate research methodology.				
Organizational skills and application				
Quality of the methodology				
4 Integratively apply advanced, theoretically in-depth knowledge of the ov	vn engineering			
discipline to a given problem.				
Applying knowledge				
Implementation				
5 Apply problem-solving thinking under uncertain and/or conflicting cons	traints, with attention			
to the appropriate level of abstraction.				
Conceptual problem-solving thinking				
Dealing with uncertainty				
6 Critically interpret and validate own results, write them down, summariz	a thorn and alcorby			
communicate them orally in English, while substantiating the decisions m				
Communicate them orany in English, while substantiating the decisions in	laue.			
Justifying the choices made				
Critical analysis				
Clear communication				
7 Work and collaborate in a professional manner.				
Organisation and time management				
Attitude				
8 Reflect on the own research topic and chosen methodology from variou	s perspectives such			
as sustainability, international context, and ethical implications.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9 Critically reflect on the own thinking and actions, handle feedback and the limits of the own				
competencies in a conscious and responsible manner.				
Handling feedback				
Critical view of one's own performance				
10 Scientific integrity and ethical conduct.				
Scientific integrity				
Ethical behavior				
	Partial marks*			

Qualitative feedback***	Global mark****		

^{*}Master's dissertation of 30 credits: International Master of Science in Fire Safety Engineering, European Master of Science in Nuclear Fusion and Engineering Physics, International Master of Science in Textile Engineering, Master of Science in Photonics Engineering, International Master of Science in Advanced Design of Sustainable Ships and Offshore Structures

^{**}No automatic calculation

[&]quot;No automatic calculation" """ If the mark on any of the four evaluation categories or on any of the underlying evaluation criteria is lower than 10/20, a clear justification is required.

""" If the mark on one of the three evaluation categories is 8/20 or less than 8/20, the dissertation advisory committee and the assessment committee can conclude, by consensus, that the student can no longer pass the entire master's dissertation. If that is the case, and if the final mark according to weighting factors is 10/20 (or more), the final mark will be reduced to the highest failing mark, 9/20. If these special conditions apply, a specific argumentation and a fair justification is required based on the final competences of the marker's dissertation. of the master's dissertation.