

Evaluation form Master thesis Commissioner

Student: **NAAM, VOORNAAM STUDENT** (STAMNR)

Promotor: **Naam Promotor**

Evaluation (for guidelines see table on the next page)

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Insufficient	Not applicable
Background and problem statement							
General discussion and conclusion							
Scientific correctness							
Critical attitude and depth							
Consistency and logical structure							
Amount of research work							
Form, style, language and structure							

Comments / Motivation:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Average Score Thesis: / **10**

Guidelines for converting to an average score:

excellent	9-10	(Among 5% best students)
very good	8	(Among 20% best students)
good	7	
moderate	5-6	
poor	4	
insufficient	0-3	

Date:

Name and signature:

Background and Problem statement

- INSUFFICIENT: The contextualization of the work and/or the problem statement show(s) significant gaps
- POOR: The contextualization of the work is incomplete and the problem statement is unclear or trivial
- MODERATE: The contextualization of the work could be better and the problem statement could be defined more clearly.
- GOOD: The contextualization of the work is good and the problem statement is clear.
- VERY GOOD: The contextualization of the work is very good and the problem statement is clear and challenging
- EXCELLENT: The contextualization of the work and the problem statement are exceptional and challenging.

General discussion and conclusion

- INSUFFICIENT: General discussion and conclusion show significant gaps in terms of insight, depth and critical review
- POOR: The general discussion and conclusion is below the norm
- MODERATE: The general discussion and conclusion is limited
- GOOD: The general discussion and conclusion good
- VERY GOOD: The general discussion and conclusion is very good
- EXCELLENT: The general discussion and conclusion is outstanding

Scientific correctness

- INSUFFICIENT: The techniques and methods are inadequate
- POOR: The master thesis contains serious scientific errors/flaws
- MODERATE: The master thesis is not always scientifically correct
- GOOD: The master thesis is scientifically correct
- VERY GOOD: The master thesis reflects a sound scientific standard
- EXCELLENT: The master thesis is of an extraordinary scientific level

Critical attitude and depth

- INSUFFICIENT: There is hardly any depth and a critical assessment is largely lacking
- POOR: There is insufficient depth and a critical discussion is poor
- MODERATE: The critical discussion and depth are moderate
- GOOD: There is a clear depth and a good critical discussion
- VERY GOOD: The depth and critical discussion are very good
- EXCELLENT: The depth is extraordinary and critical discussion demonstrates exceptional scientific maturity

Consistency and Logical structure

- INSUFFICIENT: The manuscript is not coherent and not logically structured, which makes it very difficult to follow
- POOR: The manuscript exhibits insufficient cohesion and is insufficiently structured, which makes it difficult to follow
- MODERATE: The cohesion of the manuscript and the structure are moderate
- GOOD: The manuscript is sufficiently consistent, and the structure is logical and clear
- VERY GOOD: The manuscript is very consistent, and the structure is very logical and clear, making it easy to follow
- EXCELLENT: The manuscript is exceptionally consistent, and the structure is very logical, making it very easy to follow

Amount of research work

- INSUFFICIENT: The amount of research work is well below the expected norm
- POOR: The amount of research work is below the expected standard
- MODERATE: The amount of research work is moderate
- GOOD: The amount of research work is good
- VERY GOOD: The amount of research work is very good
- EXCELLENT: The amount of research work is exceptional

Form and Style (legends, figures references, format) / Language and Structure

- INSUFFICIENT: The form and style are careless, the language is very poor, sloppy and the text is not structured
- POOR: Too little attention was paid to the form and style, the language is poor and the text is not well structured
- MODERATE: The language is moderate and relatively little attention was paid to the form, style and structure of the text
- GOOD: The form and style are good, the language is okay and the text is reasonably structured
- VERY GOOD: The form and style are very good, the language is good and the text is very well structured
- EXCELLENT: Exceptional attention was paid to the form and style, the language is extremely well cared for and the text is exceptionally structured