Master of Science in Engineering Technology – master's dissertation of 18 credit units

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
Personal Ass	essment (issued b	y the assessment of	committee, in conse	nsus)			
	The student does	The student	The student	The student is able	The student	The student is able	The student exceeds
	not understand	understands the	understands the	to define and	defines and	to define and	the research
	the research	research	research question	interpret the	interprets the	interpret research	question and defines
	question and is	question but is	and, with	research question	research question	gaps and is able to	and analyses
	unable to	not able to	assistance from	and, with	and is formulates	formulate own	complex situations
Creative and	formulate his/her	formulate	the supervisors,	assistance from	his/her own	answers or	individually. The
solution-	own answers or	his/her own	is partially able to	the supervisors, is	answers, or	(creative) solution-	student reaches new
oriented	reach or apply	answers or to	formulate his/her	able to formulate	solution-oriented	oriented strategies,	insights in the field
thinking	(creative)	apply the	own answers or	his/her own	strategies that	based on different	and formulates own
	solution-	(creative)	(creative) solution-	answers or the	demonstrate	methods and/or	answers or applies
	oriented	solution-oriented	oriented strategies.	partial application	creative or	approaches in the	(creative) solution-
	strategies.	strategies offered		of (creative)	solution-oriented	field.	oriented strategies in
		by the		solution-oriented	thinking.		an excellent manner.
		supervisors.		strategies.			
	The student	The student	The student is	The student is	The student is	The student is very	The student is very
	shows very little	shows little	motivated but	motivated and	motivated and	motivated and	motivated and
	interest or	interest or	takes little	takes initiative.	takes initiative.	takes a lot of	takes a lot of
	motivation and	motivation and	initiative. He/she	The student is able	The student is able	initiative. The	initiative. The
	does not take	does not take	is not always able	to meet deadlines.	to meet deadlines	student works	student works
Dedication	any initiative.	any initiative.	to meet deadlines		and reports to the	efficiently and	extraordinarily
	He/she is not	He/she is not	but accounts for		supervisors about	reports to the	efficiently and
	able to meet deadlines and	able to meet deadlines and	it.		the progress made.	supervisors about	reports to the
	tries to find	tries to find				the progress made.	supervisors about the progress made.
	excuses.	excuses.					progress made.
	The student does	The student	The student is	The student is	The student is able	The student is able	The student is able
Critical point	not interpret the	hardly interprets	fairly able to	fairly able to	to interpret the	to interpret and	to continuously
of view	literature in the	the literature in	interpret the	interpret the	results of the	process critical	process the
	field or the	the field or the	results of the	results of the	research. The	reviews of the	insights gained

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
	results of the	results of the	research. The	research. The	student makes	results of the	regarding the
	research.	research.	student makes	student makes	adequate use of	research. The	research question
			use of and shows	adequate use of	and shows	student makes use	and the aims of the
			understanding of	and shows	understanding of	of the literature in	research, and is
			the literature in	understanding of	the literature in	the field in a	able to define them
			the field.	the literature in	the field.	critical way.	accurately.
				the field.			
	The student only	The student	The student	The student makes	The student is able	The student takes	The student takes
	complies with	complies with	makes use of the	use of the	to act	responsibility and	responsibility and
	the supervisors'	the supervisors'	coaching and	coaching and	independently	exerts control.	exerts control.
	requirements.	requirements.	supervision of	supervision of	and has	He/she can act	He/she acts
	The student does	The student	his/her supervisors and, therefore, is	his/her supervisors and is able to act	discussed his/her ideas with the	independently and	independently and is
Autonomy	not take his/her	takes his/her	able to act fairly	independently.	supervisors of the	has presented his/her own ideas	in charge of the project.
	own decisions	own decisions	independently.	maepenaemiy.	dissertation.	to the supervisors	project.
	and does not	but does not	independently.		dissertation.	of the dissertation.	
	exert control	exert control				or the dissertation.	
	over the project.	over the project.					
Practical Ass	sessment (issued b	│. <mark>y the assessment (</mark>	 committee, in conse	nsus)			
	The student is	The student is	The student, to a	The student is	The student is	The student is very	The student adds
	not at all	not familiar with	minor extent, is	familiar with the	very familiar with	familiar with the	new insights to the
	familiar with the	the field of	familiar with the	field of study.	the field of study.	field of study,	field of study.
	field of study.	study. Research	field of study.	Research	Research	he/she is able to	Research questions
0 - 1 110 -	Research	questions and	Research	questions and	questions and	apply the literature	and analyses have
Scientific	questions and	analyses contain	questions and	analyses are	analyses are	in the field.	been fully
approach	analyses are	major errors.	analyses are	satisfactory.	adequate.	Research	developed without
	irrelevant.		flawed and		•	questions and	flaw.
			insufficient.			analyses have	
			-			been developed	
						accurately.	
Technical	The technical	The technical	The technical	The technical	The technical	The technical	The technical
execution	execution is yet	execution has	execution has	execution has been	execution leads to	execution leads to	execution has been
EXECUTION	to be developed	barely been	been developed	developed	new insights	many new	fully developed/is

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
	and/or the	developed and/or	according to the	according to	and/or the	insights and	ready to be
	experiment has	the experiment	basic standards	adequate	experiment has	suggestions for	valorised and/or the
	not been	has not been	and/or the	standards and/or	been executed	further research	experiment has
	executed.	executed	experiment has	the experiment	adequately.	and/or the	been executed
		completely.	been executed in	has been		experiment has	without flaw, taking
			a basic way.	executed		been executed	into account all
				sufficiently.		adequately,	possible
						containing extra	developments and
						materials or	extensions.
						testing.	
	Reporting has	Reporting has	Reporting has	Reporting has been	Reporting has	Reporting has been	Reporting has been
Reports	been very poor .	been unclear	been limited .	adequate.	been adequate	relevant and	complete, relevant
about daily		and insufficient.			and well-	contributed to the	and contributed to
work					developed.	ongoing	the ongoing
						development of	development of the
	Departing on and			Departing on and	Departing an and	the research.	research.
	Reporting on and	Reporting on and	Reporting on and	Reporting on and	Reporting on and	Reporting on and	Reporting on and
	framing the results was done	framing the	framing the results	framing the results was done	framing the results was done	framing the results was done better	framing the results was done correctly
	haphazardly,	results was	was done rather	acceptably and	adequately,	than expected and	and accurately and
Results	containing	flawed and the	acceptably, but	the results are	according to the	the results were	the results were
results	numerous	results were	the results were	tested to a minor	set requirements,	tested thoroughly.	tested exhaustively.
	errors.	insufficiently	insufficiently	extent.	and the results	tootou thoroughly:	tootod oxiidaotivoiyi
		tested.	tested.		were tested		
					sufficiently.		

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
	There is no	The student	The student	The student	The student	The student	The student
	innovation	proposes some	proposes and	proposes and	proposes and	proposes and	proposes and
	whatsoever.	ideas for further	conceptualises	conceptualises	conceptualises	conceptualises	conceptualises
		research, but	one single	some innovative	several new,	several new,	several new,
		does not	innovative idea.	ideas adequately.	innovative ideas	surprising and	surprising and
		conceptualise			thoroughly.	innovative ideas	innovative ideas
Innovation		them.				thoroughly.	about all aspects of the research. These
							have been
							conceptualised
							exhaustively.
							Oxinadoti voi y i
Assessment	of the Dissertation	/ Product (issued	by the assessment o	committee, in conse	nsus)		
	The dissertation	The dissertation	The dissertation	The dissertation	The dissertation	The dissertation	The dissertation
	lacks all	lacks well-	contains short and	contains adequate	contains well-	contains clear and	contains clear and
	possible	formulated	insufficient	research	formulated	well-formulated	well-formulated
	scientific	research	research	questions, a	research	research	research questions,
	qualities.	questions and	questions, a	literature review	questions, an	questions, a	an exhaustive
Scientific		well-defined	limited literature	and conclusions,	adequate	thorough	literature review
quality		conclusions.	review and lacks	partially based on	literature review	literature review	and compelling,
			well-defined	research results.	and conclusions,	and clearly-	well-founded
			conclusions		based on	defined	conclusions, based
			based on		research results.	conclusions,	on definite,
			research results.			based on definite	innovative research
						research results.	results.
	There is no	Methodology is	Methodology is	Methodology is	Methodology is	Methodology is	Methodology is
	structured	insufficient.	flawed.	sufficiently	sufficiently and	well-defined and	meticulously
	methodology.			explained and	transparently	has proven to be	reported on,
Methodology				applied.	explained and	relevant for the	without any flaw. It
					applied.	present research	has proven to be
						project.	relevant for the
							present research

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
							project.
	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been written	The dissertation has been meticulously
Form	very carelessly.	carelessly.	with care and contains correct and intelligible figures and/or tables.	with care and contains correct, intelligible and apt figures and/or tables.	with care and has a proper and appropriate lay- out.	with much care and has an accurate and intelligible lay-out.	written and has an accurate and intelligible lay-out which elevates the dissertation.
Structure	The dissertation lacks cohesion. It is a mishmash of incoherent ideas and statements.	The dissertation lacks cohesion and logical connectors, essential for a Master's thesis.	The dissertation is rather cohesive but lacks logical connectors, essential for a Master's thesis.	The dissertation is cohesive, but sometimes lacks logical connectors.	The dissertation is well-structured and contains all necessary logical connectors.	The dissertation is very well-structured and the logical flow adds to the legibility of the Master's thesis.	The dissertation is very well-structured and the logical flow adds to the legibility and argumentative structure of the Master's thesis.
Language	The student does not master the language in which the dissertation is written. There are numerous errors to be found (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student insufficiently masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are numerous errors to be found (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are many, grave errors to be found which hamper understanding (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written. There are no errors which particularly hamper understanding (spelling, grammar, syntax).	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is written well. Language is correct and to- the-point.	The student is proficient in the language in which the dissertation is written. The text is easy to understand and contains no ambiguities.	The student writes well and is very proficient in the language in which the dissertation is written. The dissertation is a joy to read.
Extended abstract	The extended abstract is missing or is an ill-structured	The extended abstract is an insufficiently-structured	The extended abstract is a structured compilation of	The extended abstract is a synthesis of the dissertation's	The extended abstract is a clear and accessible synthesis of the	The extended abstract is a well-structured synthesis of the	The extended abstract is a very well-structured synthesis of the

	VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
	compilation of pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation.	compilation of pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation.	pieces of text and figures/tables from the dissertation.	most important findings.	dissertation's most important findings.	dissertation's most important findings and can serve as the basis for a conference paper.	dissertation's most important findings and can serve as the basis for a paper in a scientific journal.
Reflection on Sustainability (based on SDG¹)	The reflection on sustainability is missing or does not refer to the SDGs.	The reflection on sustainability is insufficiently structured or connects the dissertation perfunctory to the SDGs.	The reflection on sustainability is structured and correctly aligns the dissertation with the SDG principles.	The reflection on sustainability is a structured overview and correctly aligns the dissertation with multiple technological SDGs.	The reflection on sustainability is critically structured and provides an insightful alignment of the dissertation in terms of both technological and non-technological SDGs.	The reflection on sustainability is critically structured and provides an insightful alignment of the dissertation with multiple technological and multiple non-technological SDG.	The reflection on sustainability is visionary and brings new insights to aspects of sustainability in the professional field.
Assessment of	of the Oral Defence	e (issued by the as	sessment committe	e, in consensus)		ODC.	
Presentation	The presentation is ill-structured and confusing .	The presentation is ill-structured and confusing.	The presentation has a basic structure, but	The presentation has a basic structure, but	The presentation is clear and well-structured. The	The presentation is clear, coherent and well-	The presentation is captivating and has a coherent structure with a
(content, structure, lay- out)	The lay-out of the presentation is poorly (quality of the illustrations,	The lay-out of the presentation is weak (quality of the illustrations, tables).	essential aspects (e.g. problem statement, conclusion) are missing.	essential aspects (e.g. problem statement, conclusion) are insufficiently	conclusions of the dissertation are presented and argumentation is provided in a	structured. The conclusions of the dissertation are presented and argumentation is	clear beginning, middle and end. The presentation is systematically built up and contains definite

¹ SDG = Sustainable Development Goals, more information https://www.sdgs.be/en/sdgs

VERY POOR	WEAK	PASS	SUFFICIENT	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
tables) with overcrowded slides. The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread.	The student masters the language in which the dissertation is presented, but is not able to tell a coherent story. The attention to the use of correct terminology is	The student masters the language, but pays little attention to the use of correct terminology. He/she cannot captivate the	The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the	coherent, logical way. The student uses key words and creates space on the slide. The student talks fluently, coherently and clearly and knows how to captivate the audience. The timing is well respected.	provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses qualitative illustrations or images instead of pure text. The student is fluently, is relaxed and speaks clearly. He/she leaves a very good impression on the audience. The student has a good command of voice and adopts an open attitude. The timing	conclusions. The presentation has an original sequence, based on relevant visual material instead of pure text. The student tells a fascinating story and can convince the jury of his/her final results with great enthusiasm and self-confidence. The timing is perfectly respected.
The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them adequately.	The student understands the questions but is not able to answer them adequately.	involvement in his/her story. The student understands the questions but is	The student answers more than half of the questions adequately or correctly.	The student answers almost all the questions adequately or correctly.	The student shows that he/she understands and fully masters the subject of the dissertation.	The student shows that he/she understands and fully masters the subject of the dissertation and is able to think along with the judging
	The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them	The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them The student does not answer them The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them The student does not able to answer them	The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The attention to the use of correct terminology is substandard. The student masters the language, but pays little attention to the use of correct terminology. He/she cannot captivate the audience, adopts a static attitude and shows no involvement in his/her story. The student does not understand the questions and is not able to answer them adequately.	The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The student masters the language in which the dissertation is presented, but is not able to eanswer them adequately. The student masters the language, but pays little attention to to the use of correct terminology. He/she cannot captivate the audience, adopts a static attitude and shows no involvement in his/her story. The student does not able to answer them adequately. The student does not master the language, but pays little attention to to the use of correct terminology. He/she cannot captivate the audience, adopts a static attitude and shows no involvement in his/her story. The student does not able to answer them adequately.	tables) with overcrowded slides. The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The attention to the use of correct terminology. The attention to the use of correct terminology is substandard. The student masters the language, but pays little attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience, adopts a static attitude and shows no involvement in his/her story. The student does not understands the questions and is not able to answer them adequately. The student masters the language, but pays little attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. The student does terminology. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student sonly moderately able to captivate the audience. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to th	tables) with overcrowded slides. The student does not master the language well enough to tell a coherent story. The audience cannot follow the thread. The student does thread. The student masters the language in which the dissertation is presented, but is not able to to answer them adequately. The student understands the questions but is not able to answer them adequately. Provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses key words and creates space on the slide. Provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses key words and creates space on the slide. The student masters the language in which the dissertation is presented, but is correct terminology. The auttention to the use of correct terminology. The attention to the use of correct terminology is substandard. Provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses key words and creates space on the slide. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. Provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses key words and creates space on the slide. The student masters the language and pays limited attention to the use of correct terminology. The student is only moderately able to captivate the audience. Provided in a very coherent, logical way. The student uses equalitative illustrations or images instead of pure text.